Edit Page Page

Other Page

RICHMOND, VIRGINIA TIMES-DISPATCH

H. 143.437 8. 192,499

APR 29 1966

5 U.S. Lawyers Block CIA Agent's Replies

@ New York Times Service 'five lawyers objected to Rau's here Thursday to close the door sustained by Judge Thomson. of legal discovery on the agen- The CIA normally keeps all

permitting him to answer ques-tions. They declined to let him Eerick Heine, a colleague of

minutes to clear his answer to a is immune for any law suit. single question about his con- Both the government and tacts with the Federal Bureau Raus' lawyers, have asked of Investigation before he was Judge Thomsen to dismiss the

yers by surprise by replying duce witnesses in support of its "yes" to a question they said allegations against Heine, would

The slip-up, however, ap agency's secrecy, peared to have provided no Judge Thomsen scheduled algnificant information. further argument for 2 p.m., At another point on of the May 13

BALTIMORE, April 27-The answering a question posed by Central Intelligence Agency sent Paul R. Connolly, a private lawfive Washington lawyers to the yer working with the CIA to de-United States District Court fend Raus. The objection was

of legal discovery on the agentary school legal discovery on the agent agent legal discovery on the agent legal discovery of the agent legal discovery on the agent legal discovery of the agent legal discovery on the agent legal discovery of the agent legal discovery of the agent legal discovery on the agent legal discovery of the agent legal discovery on the agent legal discovery of the ag C. Thomasen, the government Houston, to act as a secrecy attorneys repeatedly removed umpire during Thursday's questhe CIA agent from the witness tioning of Raus for a deposition stand to confer privately before in the \$110,000 civly slander suit

answer many questions at all.j. During one half-hour period, the lawyers twice took the CIA man, Juri Raus, from the witness stand and into an anteroom agent. The defense contends or into Judge Thomasen's cham-Raus was acting under CIA orbers for a total of more than 15 ders when he did this and thus

allowed to respond in open court. slander suit summarily. They Returning to the witness chair, also contend that a trial, which Raus nonetheless took his law-would require the CIA to prothey had expected him to answer "no," and another fiveminute out-of-court conference because such appearances would further compromise the



Eerick Heine